

**40 CFR Part 52****[WI54-01-7000a; FRL-5416-2]****Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plan; Wisconsin; Iron and Steel Foundries SIP Revision****AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA approves a revision to the Wisconsin State Implementation Plan (SIP) for ozone that was submitted by the State of Wisconsin on June 30, 1994, and supplemented on July 15, 1994. This revision consists of a volatile organic compound (VOC) regulation which establishes reasonably available control technology (RACT) for iron and steel foundries. This regulation was submitted to address, in part, the requirement of section 182(b)(2)(C) of the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act) that States revise their SIPs to establish RACT regulations for major sources of VOCs for which the USEPA has not issued a control technology guidelines (CTG) document. In addition, emission reductions resulting from this rule are being used by the State to fulfill, in part, the requirement of section 182(b)(1) of the Act that States submit a plan which provides for a 15 percent reduction in VOC emissions by 1996.

In the proposed rules section of this Federal Register, the EPA is proposing approval of, and soliciting comments on, this requested SIP revision. If adverse comments are received on this action, the EPA will withdraw this final rule and address the comments received in response to this action in a final rule on the related proposed rule, which is being published in the proposed rules section of this Federal Register. A second public comment period will not be held. Parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. This approval makes federally enforceable the State's rule that has been incorporated by reference.

**DATES:** The "direct final" is effective on April 15, 1996, unless EPA receives adverse or critical comments by March 14, 1996. If the effective date is delayed, timely notice will be published in the Federal Register.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be sent to: Carlton T. Nash, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Toxics and Radiation Branch (AT-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the proposed SIP revision and EPA's analysis are available for inspection at the U.S. Environmental

Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. (Please telephone Kathleen D'Agostino at (312) 886-1767 before visiting the Region 5 Office.)

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kathleen D'Agostino, Environmental Engineer, Regulation Development Section, Air Toxics and Radiation Branch (AT-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-1767.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 182(b) of the Clean Air Act sets forth the requirements for ozone nonattainment areas which have been classified as moderate or above. In Wisconsin, the counties of Kewaunee, Manitowoc, and Sheboygan and the Milwaukee area (including Kenosha, Milwaukee, Ozaukee, Racine, Washington, and Waukesha Counties) are classified as moderate or above. Section 182(b)(2)(C) requires that States submit revisions to the SIP for major sources of VOCs for which the EPA has not issued a CTG document. Because the EPA has not issued a CTG for iron and steel foundries, the State of Wisconsin developed a non-CTG regulation for this category. This regulation was submitted to the EPA by the State on June 30, 1994 and supplemented on July 15, 1994.

Additionally, section 182(b)(1)(A) requires those states with ozone nonattainment areas classified as moderate or above to submit plans to reduce VOC emissions by at least 15 percent from the 1990 baseline emissions. The 1990 baseline, as described by EPA's emission inventory guidance, is the amount of anthropogenic VOC emissions emitted on a typical summer day. Wisconsin submitted its 15 percent plan on June 14, 1995. Included in this plan were reductions generated by the iron and steel foundries rule.

The Wisconsin rule at NR 419.08(1) applies to the manufacture of cores or molds for use at iron or steel foundries at any facility which is: 1) located in the counties of Kenosha, Milwaukee, Ozaukee, Racine, Washington or Waukesha and has maximum theoretical emissions of VOCs from core and mold manufacturing of greater than or equal to 25 tons per year, or 2) is located in the counties of Kewaunee, Manitowoc, or Sheboygan and has maximum theoretical emissions of VOCs from core and mold manufacturing of greater than or equal to 100 tons per year. Sources are required to achieve final compliance with this regulation no later than May 31, 1995.

Specifically, the State has established the following limits at NR 419.08(2)(a) for the as-applied VOC content of core and mold coatings: (1) 30%, by weight, including water, for core or mold coatings that have an as purchased density of 15.0 pounds per gallon or greater; and (2) 70%, by weight, including water, for core or mold coatings that have an as-purchased density of less than 15.0 pounds per gallon. At NR 491.08(2)(b) the regulation requires that all core and mold coating storage vessels and containers remain covered. At NR 419.08(2)(c) the rule requires that VOC emissions from the catalysis step in the formation of a urethane cold box binder be controlled with an overall efficiency of at least 90 percent.

A more detailed analysis of the State's submittal is contained in technical support documents dated May 1, 1995, and November 8, 1995. In determining the approvability of this VOC rule, EPA evaluated the rule for consistency with Federal requirements, including section 110 and part D of the Clean Air Act. The EPA has found that this rule meets the requirements applicable to ozone and is, therefore, approvable for incorporation into the State's ozone SIP.

Because the EPA considers this action noncontroversial and routine, we are approving it without prior proposal. This action will become effective on April 15, 1996. However, if we receive adverse comments by March 14, 1996, EPA will publish a document that withdraws this action.

Nothing in this action should be construed as permitting, allowing or establishing a precedent for any future request for revision to any SIP. The EPA shall consider each request for revision to the SIP in light of specific technical, economic, and environmental factors and in relation to relevant statutory and regulatory requirements.

This action has been classified as a Table 2 action by the Regional Administrator under the procedures published in the Federal Register on January 19, 1989 (54 FR 2214-2225). The Office of Management and Budget has exempted these actions from review under Executive Order 12866.

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 600 *et seq.*, EPA must prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis assessing the impact of any proposed or final rule on small entities (5 U.S.C. 603 and 604). Alternatively, EPA may certify that the rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and government entities

with jurisdiction over populations of less than 50,000.

This approval does not create any new requirements. Therefore, I certify that this action does not have a significant impact on any small entities affected. Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-State relationship under the Act, preparation of the regulatory flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of the State action. The Act forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. *Union Electric Co. v. U.S. EPA*, 427 U.S. 246, 256-66 (1976).

Under section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, the EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under section 205, the EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires the EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

The EPA has determined that the approval action promulgated today does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs of \$100 million or more to either State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector.

This Federal action approves preexisting-existing requirements under State or local law, and imposes no new Federal requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to State, local, or tribal governments, or the private sector, result from this action.

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by April 15, 1996. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review, nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements (see section 307(b)(2)).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: January 22, 1996.

Valdas V. Adamkus,  
*Regional Administrator.*

40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

#### Subpart YY—Wisconsin

#### PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

2. Section 52.2570 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(88) to read as follows:

#### § 52.2570 Identification of plan.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \*

(88) A revision to the ozone State Implementation Plan (SIP) was submitted by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources on June 30, 1994, and supplemented on July 15, 1994. This revision consists of volatile organic compound regulations which establish reasonably available control technology for iron and steel foundries.

(i) *Incorporation by reference.* The following sections of the Wisconsin Administrative Code are incorporated by reference.

(A) NR 419.02(1s), (1t), (1u), (3m) and (6m) as created and published in the (Wisconsin) Register, June, 1994, No. 462, effective July 1, 1994.

(B) NR 419.08 as created and published in the (Wisconsin) Register, June, 1994, No. 462, effective July 1, 1994.

[FR Doc. 96-3082 Filed 2-12-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### 40 CFR Part 52

#### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Pennsylvania; SO<sub>2</sub>: Conewango Township, Warren County Implementation Plan

#### CFR Correction

In Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, part 52, revised as of July 1, 1995, § 52.2020 paragraph (c)(93) appearing on page 814 should be removed and reserved.

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D-M

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 140-5-7275a; FRL-5402-5]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision; Kern County Air Pollution Control District; Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking direct final action on revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). The revisions concern rules from the Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District (SBCAPCD) and the Kern County Air Pollution Control District (KCAPCD). This approval action will incorporate these rules into the Federally approved SIP. The intended effect of approving these rules is to regulate emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). The rules control VOC emissions from organic liquid loading and storage, and petroleum sumps, pits, and well cellars. Thus, EPA is finalizing the approval of these rules into the California SIP under provisions of the CAA regarding EPA action on SIP submittals, SIPs for national primary and secondary ambient air quality standards, and plan requirements for nonattainment areas.

**DATES:** This action is effective on April 15, 1996 unless adverse or critical comments are received by March 14, 1996. If the effective date is delayed, a timely notice will be published in the Federal Register.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the rules and EPA's evaluation report for each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rules are also available for inspection at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), 401 "M" Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460.

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.

Kern County Air Pollution Control District, 2700 M Street, Suite 290, Bakersfield, CA 93301.

Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District, 26 Castilian Drive, B-23, Goleta, CA 93117.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mae Wang, Rulemaking Section (A-5-3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S.